

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/706,847	Applicant(s) KRANTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Monica A. Huson	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Monica A. Huson.

(2) Paul Onderick.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

11-14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Onderick to suggest cancelling claims 11-14 so that claims 1-10 could be passed to issue. Mr. Onderick agreed and confirmed the decision to cancel claims 11-14 with his client

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)